

REEXAMINING THE NATIONAL DEBT: A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGEMENT

Jack Lum Lake Travis Independent School District Austin, Texas, USA

Abstract

It is well known that when government debt as a percentage of GDP exceeds a critical point, it becomes disastrous (Checherita and Rother, 2010). The national debt has reached a nearly unbelievable level, leaving policymakers, government officials, and the general public worried. The United States has only ran a surplus 4 years- 1998 to 2001- which has allowed for quite the compounding of debt. As the national debt per capita increases, the probability of the government defaulting on its debt service obligation does as well. This in turn mandates a higher yield on newer bonds. As the rates go up, government spending is shifted from other sources- such as domestic welfare programs- to paying interest and makes borrowing more difficult. This paper thoroughly analyzes the current national debt, the methods for management, and provides a theoretical framework for future reduction based on previous years' data. The equations and functions derived in the theoretical framework reveal that implementing alternative methods could possibly be more successful than current methods, both short and long term.

Keywords: Debt, Debt Management, Economic Growth, Econometric models (single equation), Government Bonds, National Debt, Sovereign Debt, Sovereign Debt Default, Treasury Securities

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, an essential issue to be analyzed in depth is the current U.S. national debt and the potential benefits associated with its reduction. The current U.S. national debt is 21 Trillion dollars. This figure is rapidly increasing and shows no sign of slowing down. Many different factors contribute to this astronomical number, however, one of the most significant is the sale of securities. Other factors that contribute to the rising debt include: healthcare programs (including Medicare & Medicaid), social security program/pensions, defense budget expenses, transportation, veteran benefits, international affairs, education and training, etc.

There is a negative effect of debt ratio and financial crisis on economic growth. This is proven by combining the results of Kumar & Jaejoon (2010), Reinhart & Rogoff (2010, 2011), and Afonso & Jalles (2013). In Kourtellos et al. (2013), a structural threshold regression method was used to determine the effects of public debt on economic growth. The authors found evidence of an inverse relationship between growth and degree of democracy.



The aim of this paper is to analyze the current debt and provide a theoretical framework which allows for alternative methods to those in place today.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the second section, I will provide additional context and statistical information necessary to understand current deficit/surplus conditions. In the third section, I introduce my theoretical framework on the basis of producing sustainable debt reduction through alternatives, as well as arguing against current methods. In the final section, I will present my conclusion.

II. CURRENT NATIONAL DEBT CONDITIONS The Federal Debt Summarized

The following statistical data is largely comprised of the March 2018 Bureau of the Fiscal Service report; it provides a fundamental background in understanding the debt, using the most updated figures.

Table 1.1 presents the roughly consistent level of daily trading volume of the treasury securities from 2000 to 20161. There is a diverse spectrum of the owners of U.S. securities (treasury bills, treasury bonds, treasury notes, and U.S. savings bonds) which is illustrated in Figure 1.12.

Average daily trading volume of the treasury securities in the United States								
Year	Volume (\$B)							
2000	206.5							
2005	554.5							
2010	528.2							
2012	518.9							
2013	545.4							
2014	504.2							
2015	490.1							
2016	514.2							

Table 1.1



Table FD-1 summarizes the Federal debt by listing public debt and agency securities held by the public, including the Federal Reserve. It also includes debt held by Federal agencies, largely by the Social Security and other Federal retirement trust funds. The net unamortized premium and discount also are listed by total Federal securities, securities held by Government accounts and securities held by the public. The difference between the outstanding face value of the Federal debt and the net unamortized premium and discount is classified as the accrual amount. (For greater detail on holdings of Federal securities by particular classes of investors, see the ownership tables, OFS-1 and OFS-2.)



Figure 1.1

Table FD-2 categorizes by type, that is, marketable and non marketable, the total public debt securities outstanding that are held by the public.

1 Based on the Federal Budget 2016 Total Outlay Figures.

2 Figure 1.1 is from 2016, however, the data is still relevant as it shows the breakdown of debt ownership.

In table FD-3, non marketable Treasury securities held by U.S. Government accounts are summarized by issues to particular funds within Government. Many of the funds invest in par



value special series unmarketable at interest rates determined by law. Others invest in marketbased special Treasury securities whose terms mirror those of marketable securities.

Table FD-4 presents interest-bearing securities issued by Government agencies. Federal agency borrowing has declined in recent years, in part because the Federal Financing Bank has provided financing to other Federal agencies. (Federal agency borrowing from Treasury is presented in the "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the United States Government.")

Table FD-5 illustrates the average length of marketable interest-bearing public debt held by private investors and the maturity distribution of that debt.

Volume-4, Issue-12, MAY-2018 ISSN No: 2349-5677

TABLE FD-1—Summary of Federal Debt

[In millions of dollars. Source: "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlays of the United States Government"]

				Securities held by					
	A	mount outstanding		Go	Government accounts			The public	
End of fiscal year or month	Total (1)	Public debt securities (2)	Agency securities (3)	Total (4)	Public debt securities (5)	Agency securities (6)	Total (7)	Public debt securities (8)	Agency securities (9)
2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	16,763,286 17,847,931 18,174,718 19,597,812 20,269,269	16,738,183 17,824,071 18,150,618 19,573,445 20,244,900	25,103 23,860 24,100 24,367 24,369	4,757,211 5,039,265 5,026,867 5,395,699 5,563,074	4,757,205 5,039,262 5,026,862 5,395,695 5,563,073	5 3 5 4 1	12,006,076 12,808,666 13,147,851 14,202,113 14,706,195	11,980,978 12,784,809 13,123,756 14,177,750 14,681,827	25,098 23,857 24,095 24,363 24,368
2016 - Dec 2017 - Jan Feb Mar Apr June July Aug Sept Oct Nov	20,001,290 19,961,760 19,983,859 19,870,651 19,870,348 19,870,301 19,868,948 19,869,273 19,868,627 20,269,269 20,466,827 20,614,878	19,976,827 19,937,261 19,846,420 19,846,420 19,846,129 19,845,942 19,844,554 19,844,554 19,844,533 20,244,900 20,442,474 20,590,392	24,463 24,499 24,265 24,231 24,219 24,359 24,394 24,364 24,364 24,369 24,353 24,486	5,537,501 5,556,549 5,543,497 5,471,966 5,548,161 5,542,298 5,473,659 5,479,502 5,454,504 5,563,074 5,662,713 5,663,451	5,537,501 5,556,549 5,543,497 5,471,963 5,548,158 5,542,295 5,473,656 5,479,500 5,454,502 5,563,073 5,682,712 5,663,450	4 4 3 3 3 3 3 1 1 1 1	14,463,789 14,405,211 14,440,362 14,398,685 14,322,187 14,328,003 14,395,289 14,389,771 14,414,123 14,706,195 14,784,114 14,951,427	14,439,326 14,380,712 14,416,097 14,374,457 14,297,971 14,303,647 14,370,898 14,365,409 14,390,031 14,681,827 14,759,762 14,926,942	24,459 24,495 24,261 24,228 24,216 24,356 24,351 24,361 24,368 24,368 24,352 24,485

	Federal debt securities			Securities hel	d by Government	accounts	Securities held by the public			
		Net			Net			Net		
	Amount	unamortized		Amount	unamortized		Amount unamortize		1	
	outstanding	premium	Accrual	outstanding	premium	Accrual	outstanding	premium	Accrual	
End of fiscal	face value	and discount	amount	face value	and discount	amount	face value	and discoun	t amount	
year or month	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	
2013	16,763,286	46,496	16,716,791	4,757,211	22,292	4,734,919	12,006,076	24,203	11,981,872	
2014	17,847,931	55,907	17,792,023	5,039,265	26,678	5,012,587	12,808,666	29,229	12,779,436	
2015	18,174,718	56,852	18,117,866	5,026,867	25,603	5,001,264	13,147,851	31,249	13,116,602	
2016	19,597,812	60,393	19,537,417	5,395,699	26,706	5,368,993	14,202,113	33,687	14,168,425	
2017	20,269,269	65,378	20,203,891	5,563,074	25,909	5,537,165	14,706,195	39,469	14,666,725	
2016 - Dec	20.001.290	62.673	19.938.616	5.537.501	26.577	5,510,924	14,463,789	36.096	14 427 692	
2017 - Jan	19,961,760	63,651	19,898,108	5,556,549	26,500	5,530,049	14,405,211	37,151	14,368,059	
Feb	19,983,859	63,978	19,919,881	5,543,497	26,480	5,517,017	14,440,362	37,498	14 402 863	
Mar	19,870,651	65,545	19,805,105	5,471,966	26,462	5 445 504	14,398,685	39,083	14,359,601	
Apr	19,870,348	65,022	19,805,326	5 548 161	26,192	5 521 969	14,322,187	38,830	14,283,357	
Mav	19,870,301	65,617	19,804,682	5,542,298	26,106	5,516,192	14,328,003	39,511	14,288,491	
June	19,868,948	65.367	19,803,580	5 473 659	26,022	5,447,637	14,395,289	39.345	14,355,943	
July	19 869 273	65,006	19 804 266	5 479 502	25,942	5 453 560	14,389,771	39.064	14 350 707	
Aug	19 868 627	65 601	19 803 025	5 454 504	25,982	5 428 521	14 414 123	39,619	14 374 504	
Sept.	20 269 269	65,378	20 203 891	5 563 074	25,909	5 537 165	14 706 195	39 469	14 666 725	
Oct	20,466,827	66 975	20,200,001	5 682 713	27,037	5,655,676	14 784 114	30,038	14 744 176	
Nov	20,400,027	68.036	20,535,051	5,663,454	27,037	5,636,379	14 951 427	40 933	1/ 010 /02	
Dec	20,014,070	69 451	20,040,042	5,003,451	27,103	5,030,340	14,901,427	40,000	14,910,493	
D60	20,517,141	00,451	20,448,090	5,009,041	27,132	5,042,509	14,047,499	41,319	14,000,180	

International Journal of Business Quantitative Economics and Applied Management Research ISSN No: 2349-5677

Volume-4, Issue-12, MAY-2018

[In millions of dollars. Source: "Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States"] Marketable Treasury Total public inflation-Floating Nondebt securities protected marketable rate End of fiscal Bills outstanding Total Notes Bonds securities notes Total year or month (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) 2013 11,976,279 11,577,400 1,527,909 7,750,336 1,363,114 936,041 398,879 2014 12,784,971 12,271,552 1,409,628 8,160,196 1,534,069 1,044,676 122,985 513,419 2015 13,123,847 12,831,867 1,355,231 8,366,026 1,688,208 1,135,363 287.039 291,980 2016 14,173,424 13,638,303 1,644,759 8,624,253 1,825,338 1.209.814 334,139 535,120 2017 8,798,940 1,286,124 342,630 497,752 14,673,429 14,175,677 1,799,570 1,948,414 2016 - Dec 14,434,842 13,898,806 1,815,667 8,652,238 1,848,817 1,247,054 335,030 536,035 2017 - Jan 14,376,139 13,841,231 1,759,619 8,671,704 1,861,477 1,238,451 309,980 534,908 Feb..... 14,411,381 1,246,760 322,983 534,904 13,876,477 1,750,698 8,677,837 1,878,200 Mar 14,369,682 1,266,181 337,580 425,392 13,944,290 1,754,818 8,695,552 1,890,158 Apr..... 14,293,345 13,928,045 1,238,391 337,570 365,299 1,739,875 8,709,935 1,902,275 May 14.298.976 13.960.583 1,745,789 8,729,403 1,906,667 1,252,191 326,533 338.394 June 14,366,186 13,988,972 1,715,829 8,751,868 1,918,661 1,261,485 341,128 377,215 July 14,360,944 14,039,901 8,775,867 1,930,928 1,260,445 316,656 321,044 1,756,005 Aug 14,381,562 14,069,265 1,745,509 8,781,810 1,936,400 1,275,905 329,641 312,297 Sept..... 14,673,429 14,175,677 1,799,570 8,798,940 1,948,414 1,286,124 342,630 497,752 Oct..... 14,751,446 14,249,596 1,853,165 8,823,959 1,960,410 1,295,052 317,010 501,851 Nov..... 14,918,736 1,967,577 8,825,124 1,313,480 330,022 505,270 14,413,466 1,977,263 Dec 14,814,721 14,456,067 1,952,521 8,844,128 1,989,231 1,327,160 343,027 358,654

TABLE FD-2-	–Debt Held	by the	Public
-------------	------------	--------	--------

_				Nonmarketable, continue	d		
_		Depositary			State and local		
	U.S. savings	compensation	Foreign	Government	government	Domestic	
End of fiscal	securities	securities	series	account series	series	series	Other
year or month	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
2013	180,022	-	2,986	60,445	124,079	29,995	1,353
2014	176,762		2,986	196,520	105,668	29,995	1,489
2015	172,826		264	9,138	78,115	29,995	1,642
2016	167,524		264	226,349	109,211	29,995	1,777
2017	161,705	-	264	223,787	80,359	29,995	1,641
2016 - Dec	165,853		264	228,406	109,779	29,995	1,737
2017 - Jan	165,155		264	230,097	107,675	29,995	1,721
Feb	164,792		264	232,204	105,935	29,995	1,713
Mar	164,286		264	122,864	106,229	29,995	1,754
Apr	163,818		264	66,759	102,784	29,995	1,679
May	163,368		264	43,638	99,516	29,995	1,612
June	162,895		264	90,919	91,463	29,995	1,678
July	162,608		264	41,718	84,800	29,995	1,658
Aug	162,022		264	37,596	80,752	29,995	1,668
Sept	161,705		264	223,787	80,359	29,995	1,641
Oct	161,189		264	226,578	82,149	29,995	1,674
Nov	160,902		264	227,451	85,018	29,995	1,639
Dec	160,476		264	73,658	92,447	29,995	1,813



TABLE FD-3—Government Account Series

End of fiscal year or month	Total (1)	Airport and Airway Trust Fund (2)	Deposit Insurance Fund (3)	Employees Life Insurance Fund (4)	Exchange Stabili- zation Fund (5)	Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund (6)	Federal employees retirement funds (7)	Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund (8)	Federal Housing Administration (9)
2013	4 803 100	11 80.8	36 864	41 051	22 660	100 701	731 125	206.010	3
2013	5 212 466	12 759	48 750	41,551	22,009	70 113	861 349	200,010	5
2015	5,212,400	12,755	60,096	43,210	20,773	/1 638	737.096	105 / 58	_
2016	5,604,069	13,400	71 524	45,558	20,775	41,030	874 141	193,458	36.441
2010	5,004,005	13,400	78 486	45,680	22,000	69,669	912 438	197,835	30,879
2017	3,771,144	10,404	70,400	40,000	22,030	05,005	312,430	137,000	50,075
2016 - Dec	5,747,933	13,878	70,900	45,273	22,014	46,481	893,862	198,806	39,398
2017 - Jan	5,768,690	13,652	74,295	45,352	22,023	50,646	889,876	204,770	40,387
Feb	5,757,968	13,871	75,098	45,271	22,021	51,724	885,603	199,959	41,450
Mar	5,577,222	13,483	78,191	45,247	22,033	54,047	854,151	187,316	42,213
Apr	5,597,243	13,749	76,766	45,230	22,046	59,730	854,102	210,154	43,103
May	5,568,532	13,764	75,740	45,232	22,045	61,133	854,055	204,532	43,978
June	5,548,842	13,744	78,021	45,267	22,061	66,114	786,104	204,497	28,404
July	5,505,410	13,712	77,889	45,309	22,078	67,201	770,814	208,365	28,875
Aug	5,476,269	13,737	78,012	45,622	22,073	67,928	770,778	200,601	29,861
Sept	5,771,144	13,404	78,486	45,680	22,090	69,669	912,438	197,835	30,879
Oct	5,893,523	13,717	80,448	45,737	22,110	69,745	907,895	202,220	31,782
Nov	5,875,032	13,825	81,786	45,799	22,106	70,453	903,618	199,293	32,442
Dec	5,727,513	13,604	83,172	45,867	22,127	71,624	891,604	201,781	33,003

[In millions of dollars.	Source: "Monthl	y Statement of the	Public Debt of the	United States"]

End of fiscal year or month	Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund (10)	Federal Savings and Loan Corporation, Resolution Fund (11)	Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund (12)	Highway Trust Fund (13)	National Service Life Insurance Fund (14)	Postal Service Fund (15)	Railroad Retirement Account (16)	Unemploy- ment Trust Fund (17)	Other (18)
0040	0.055.500	005	07.005	4.057	0.050	0.000	70.0	00.470	000 704
2013	2,000,099	825	69 201	1,957	0,200	2,860	/88	29,478	1 110 024
2014	2,712,000	027	66,391	7,667	3,011	5,450	003	35,919	1,110,924
2015	2,700,049	020	60,120	64,600	4,903	7,103	074	44,300	1,003,215
2010	2,790,712	828	03,330	64,629	4,240	8,527	085	53,776	1,309,888
2017	2,820,200	839	70,589	52,332	3,004	10,965	419	60,711	1,381,004
2016 - Dec	2.801.406	831	95.642	61.696	4.141	8.871	424	51.571	1.392.739
2017 - Jan	2.811.101	835	96,964	61.852	4,082	8.856	621	49,957	1,393,421
Feb	2.801.126	836	97,539	63.095	4,016	9,289	679	52,028	1,394,363
Mar	2,796,253	836	80.264	62.236	3,935	9,908	771	47,354	1,278,984
Apr	2.813.234	836	98,507	62.251	3.872	10.285	701	48,116	1,234,561
May	2.803.765	837	96.321	61.244	3,795	10.845	609	61,906	1,208,731
June	2.845.621	838	78,586	58,604	3,803	10,171	753	59,899	1,246,355
July	2,841,024	838	97,082	57,469	3,740	10,171	671	59,373	1,200,799
Aug	2.828.008	839	92,530	53.667	3,674	10.611	574	62,583	1,195,171
Sept	2,820,200	839	70.589	52.332	3.604	10.965	419	60,711	1.381.004
Oct	2.810.934	840	93.047	50.492	3.547	10.585	621	59.653	1,490,150
Nov	2,796,854	841	86.412	50.555	3,494	11.443	474	61,722	1,493,915
Dec	2,820,369	842	87.369	49.311	3.502	11.001	438	59,896	1,332,003
	2,020,000		0.,000		0,000				.,

Note-Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.



TABLE FD-4—Interest-Bearing Securities Issued by Government Agencies

End of fiscal year or month	Total outstanding (1)	Department of Housing and Urban <u>Development</u> Federal Housing Administration (2)	Architect of the Capitol (3)	Other independent Tennessee Valley Authority (4)	National Archives and Records Administration (5)	Other/Federal Communications Commission (6)
2013	25,103	19	130	24,821	134	*
2014	23,860	19	105	23,620	116	*
2015	24,100	19	107	23,878	96	*
2016	24,367	19	98	24,175	75	*
2017	24,369	19	89	24,209	52	*
2016 - Dec	24,463	19	101	24,268	75	*
2017 - Jan	24,499	19	101	24,303	75	*
Feb	24,265	19	93	24,089	64	*
Mar	24,231	19	94	24,055	64	*
Apr	24,219	19	95	24,042	64	*
May	24,359	19	95	24,181	64	*
June	24,394	19	96	24,215	64	*
July	24,364	19	97	24,185	64	*
Aug	24,094	19	89	23,934	52	*
Sept	24,369	19	89	24,209	52	*
Oct	24,353	19	90	24,192	52	٠
Nov	24,486	19	91	24,325	52	٠
Dec	24,396	19	91	24,233	52	*

[In millions of dollars. Source: "Monthly Treasury Statement of Receipts and Outlavs of the United States Government"]

Note—Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

* Less than \$500,000.

TABLE FD-5—Maturity Distribution and Average Length of Marketable Interest-Bearing Public Debt Held by Private Investors

	Amount Maturity classes						
End of fiscal year or month	outstanding privately held (1)	Within 1 year (2)	1-5 years (3)	5-10 years (4)	10-20 years (5)	20 years or more (6)	Average length (months) (7)
2013	9,518,102	2,939,037	4,134,968	1,647,954	230,758	565,384	55
2014	9,828,787	2,931,581	4,216,746	1,813,563	223,276	643,620	56
2015	10,379,413	2,922,734	4,356,051	2,084,293	184,306	832,030	61
2016	11,184,046	3,321,283	4,478,458	2,219,048	167,666	997,590	63
2017	11,642,870	3,263,065	4,746,209	2,320,739	151,686	1,161,170	66
2016 - Dec	11,360,224	3,445,952	4,489,802	2,219,388	162,911	1,042,171	63
2017 - Jan	11,387,230	3,358,828	4,574,253	2,253,434	146,711	1,054,003	64
Feb	11,422,363	3,338,579	4,615,543	2,248,976	148,229	1,071,037	64
Mar	11,489,205	3,321,955	4,653,575	2,281,541	148,613	1,083,522	64
Apr	11,350,910	3,294,170	4,538,051	2,273,961	148,826	1,095,902	65
May	11,504,863	3,279,506	4,655,415	2,310,028	155,318	1,104,596	65
June	11,532,819	3,230,656	4,694,240	2,330,392	155,516	1,122,015	66
July	11,583,458	3,253,425	4,720,738	2,319,583	155,580	1,134,133	66
Aug	11,616,729	3,218,596	4,777,990	2,319,165	151,729	1,149,249	66
Sept	11,642,870	3,263,065	4,746,209	2,320,739	151,686	1,161,170	66
Oct	11,802,355	3,302,159	4,800,642	2,369,052	151,883	1,178,620	66
Nov	11,971,545	3,415,143	4,841,876	2,377,380	142,829	1,194,317	65
Dec	11,940,545	3,401,357	4,821,693	2,368,229	142,802	1,206,463	65

In millions of dollars. Source: Office of Debt Management, Office of the Under Secretary for Domestic Finance

Note-Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.



III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND ANALYSIS Analysis of Current Management Methods

There are two primary methods current being used to manage the debt. The first is refinancing, which occurs as follows: as portions of the public debt come due on maturing Treasury bills, notes, bonds each month, the government sells new bonds and uses the proceeds to pay the holders of the maturing bonds.

This method is fundamentally flawed, as essentially structured like a Ponzi scheme, and, eventually, will likely fail. To this point, the U.S. has been able to function successfully utilizing refinancing; however, consider this example: what if people stopped purchasing U.S. securities and began purchasing other countries' securities? The U.S. would need to keep borrowing at a higher interest rate, leading to more deficits, ultimately necessitating more borrowing at progressively higher interest rates. This creates a positive feedback loop, which will require cutting expenditures and slow growth. Taxes must then be raised, and a situation similar to what happened recently in Greece would occur.

Another reason why refinancing is not sustainable in eliminating debt is the way refinancing is structured. Consider the following example with small fund and some investors:

- 1. The fund takes the investors money, purchases some assets, and promises returns.
- 2. The assets mature; however, the fund's profits do not meet expectations, they cannot pay the investors, and their business is threatened.
- 3. Rather than default, the fund finds new investors and takes their money.
- 4. This new money is redistributed to the original group of investors, and the cycle continues.

The example I have just explained is easily recognized as a Ponzi Scheme. Moreover, compare the previous to the following:

- 1. The Government sells securities and promises returns.
- 2. The assets mature; however, the Government cannot pay.
- 3. The Government refinance the debt by selling new securities.
- 4. The profits from the new securities are redistributed to the holders of the original securities, and the cycle continues.

For the most part, the parallels between the two are undeniable.

Once the securities mature, the government repays lenders by issuing new securities. This cycle will continue to put the government further and further in debt. A significant contributor of what got the national debt to the current figure (21 Trillion) was the issuing of these financial instruments. I am going to put this in elementary terms: the solution to the problem is not what caused the problem in the first place. Granted, issuing treasury securities has many positives, it also poses noticeable future risk.

The second method is taxation/tariffs. These methods are politically unpopular; however, both are realistic ways of achieving sustainable debt reduction. While taxation may weaken



incentives to work and invest, the long term consequences of an increase in taxes are probably better than the long term consequences of prolonged refinancing. The other is increasing tariffs. This would have significantly negative repercussions though, as other countries would impose retaliatory tariffs, which allows me to arrive at the conclusion that progressively increased taxation is likely the preferable method in sustainably reducing the national debt.

Theoretical Framework Implementation

This section presents an integrated approach which allows us to evaluate the different dimensions involved in the reduction of the national debt; (i) changes in the quantity refinanced, (ii) changes in taxation, and (iii) the monetary effect on the debt.

Given the current national debt of 21 trillion USD (as of March 30, 2018), and a growth rate of 5.5 billion per day 3, the function for the estimated national debt in USD can be modeled by \Box :

$$\Box = 21,000,000,000 + 5,500,000,000 \Box$$
 (1)

Where n= days after March 30, 2018

From (1) we obtain the first value necessary to determine an approximation the national debt in the future. The function \Box will represent the amount in of USD the government increases its debt by each day when refinancing the debt through issuing new securities. The function \Box will represent the same as \Box , however it will be the annual increase. From Table FD-2, it can be seen that from Dec. 2016 to Dec. 2017, the quantity of Marketable securities- Treasury bills, notes, bonds and Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities (TIPS)- increased from \$13,898,806,000,000 to \$14,456,067,000,000.

$$\Box = \$14,456,067,000,000 - \$13,898,806,000,000 = \$557,261,000,000$$
(2)

This data was gathered from U.S. Treasury Department, U.S. Federal Reserve.

Therefore, from Dec. 2016 to Dec. 2017, increased \$557,261,000,000. Using this number should provide a relatively accurate estimation for future annual increase in debt because of new securities. In order to model \Box , the 2016-2017 estimate will be used 4.

$$\Box = \frac{\Box}{365.23} = \$1,525,697,467.49 \tag{3}$$

An integrated approach incorporating (1), (2), and (3) allow to determine the following: if refinancing was to stop, the estimated current debt for any number of days, x, after the issuing



of marketable securities ceased can be represented by the function $\Box = \Box - \Box \Box$. This would slow the current rate of debt growth, which would be a step in the right direction; nevertheless, even more significant progress would be made with gradual increases in taxes. Application of the \Box function for 2017 (from Jan. to Dec) is shown below.

Given that in 2017 the federal government took in 3,316,200,000,000 in federal taxes, if the government had increased taxes by 4 percent and refinancing stopped at the end of 2016, according to the \Box function:

 $\Box = 21,000,000,000+5,500,000(-90) = $20,505,000,000 \text{ (estimated national debt at end of 20175)}$

 $\Box = 1,525,697,467.49$

 $\Box = \$20,505,000,000,000 - 1,525,697,467.49(365.25) = \$19,947,739,000,000$ (hypothetical debt if refinancing ceased)

Now considering the tax increase 6

 $3,316,200,000,000 \times 1.04 = 3,448,848,000,000$

\$3,448,848,000,000 - \$3,316,200,000,000 = \$132,648,000,000 (additional revenue from the 4 percent increase)

If refinancing was reduced and taxes increased at the beginning of 2017 by 4 percent, the cumulative result would've been an additional \$689,909,000,000 in federal revenue. The fiscal deficit in 2017 was -\$665,400,000,000. 2017 could have ended in a budget surplus, which would have been the fifth year in history that occured.

Functions \Box and \Box were derived using 2016 and 2017 data from Table FD-2; extrapolation of \Box and \Box may yield inaccurate results.

The actual debt in Dec. 2017 was 20,492,750,000,000, which demonstrates the accuracy of the \Box in predictions of debt.

Once the tax change is made, the \Box function is no longer applicable, since it was derived with data during a time when refinancing was being used and new taxes had not been implemented.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

To conclude, some interesting lessons can be extracted regarding the application of this approach. Firstly, it must be recognized that the theoretical analysis was based 2016/2017 data; therefore, the functions mustn't be extrapolated, as that would likely yield incorrect results. Secondly, the final results suggest that future policy changes in debt management strategies



could allow for sustainable reduction. The degree of implementation varies- for example, different percentage increases or the amount that refinancing is scaled back- regardless, both produce the same outcome.

I large concern with the theoretical framework is the negative effect of raising taxes 4 percent. While this may weaken incentives to work and invest, the long term consequences of an increase in taxes are likely better than the long term consequences of prolonged refinancing.

All in all, the national debt in the ensuing years will be determined by a many variables; nevertheless, the functions and equations provided in the framework will remain relevant and applicable (so long as significant changes do not alter economic conditions).

REFERENCE

[1] Afonso, A. & Jalles, J. T., 2013. Growth and productivity: The role of government debt. International Review of Economics & Finance, 25, 384–407.

[2] Afonso, A. & Alves, J. T., 2014. The Role of Government Debt in Economic Growth. International Review of Economics & Finance, 25, 384–407.

[3] Checherita C. and Rother, P. (2010) "The Impact of High and Growing Government Debt on Economic Growth. An Empirical Investigation for the Euro Area" European Central Bank Working Paper Series No 1237 / August 2010.

[4] Kourtellos, A., Stengos, T. & Tan, C. M., 2013. The effect of public debt on growth in multiple regimes. Journal of Macroeconomics, 1-9.

[5] Kumar, M. S. & Jaejoon, W., 2010. Public Debt and Growth. IMF Working Paper, Volume WP/10/174.

[6] Reinhart, C. M. & Rogoff, K. S., 2010. Growth in a Time of Debt. American Economic Review, 100(2), 573 - 578.

[7] Reinhart, C. M. & Rogoff, K. S., 2011. The Forgotten History of Domestic Debt. The Economic Journal, 121(552), 319-350.

[8] U.S. Department of the Treasury (2014). Treasury Marketables Factsheet. Press Kit Materials.

[9] U.S. Department of the Treasury: Bureau of the Fiscal Service (2018)

[10] U.S. Office of Management and Budget (2018). Table 1.3–Summary of Receipts, Outlays, and Surpluses or Deficits (-) in Current Dollars, Constant (FY 2009) Dollars, and as Percentages of GDP: 1940–2023. Historical Tables.